The **$311,000** in the Tobey Maguire poker story is not a reported poker-loss total, a fine, or a criminal penalty. It is the amount a bankruptcy trustee sought to recover from Maguire in a civil lawsuit connected to illegal high-stakes poker games and the collapse of a hedge fund run by one of the games' participants.

The distinction matters. Maguire was not accused of running the fund or charged with a crime in the case. The trustee's claim was that money Maguire had won from fund manager Bradley Ruderman came from funds Ruderman had improperly taken from investors—and could therefore be clawed back for creditors. Maguire disputed the effort and ultimately settled the claim. The figure became a memorable part of a story that mixed Hollywood, underground poker and bankruptcy law.

This explainer separates what the $311,000 represented from what happened next, and puts the episode in context with Maguire's *Spider-Man* career, comparatively private public image, family history and reported finances.

The short answer: a civil recovery attempt

Bradley Ruderman operated a hedge fund that collapsed amid a fraud scheme. After Ruderman was convicted, a material pictures tobey maguire producer bankruptcy trustee sought to gather assets for creditors, including investors who had lost money. The trustee's position was that some of Ruderman's spending on poker games had been funded with money that should have remained with the fund's investors.

Maguire was among the players named in the trustee's civil action. The **311,000 winnings referenced** in coverage described the amount the trustee said Maguire had won from Ruderman in poker games. The trustee pursued a **bankruptcy trustee claim** to recover that sum, arguing that the money was traceable to Ruderman's fraud.

In other words, the lawsuit was about the source of the money and whether it could be recovered for creditors—not a claim that Maguire had taken part in Ruderman's investment fraud. Maguire denied wrongdoing. In 2011, he agreed to pay $80,000 to settle the claim, according to contemporaneous news reports. A settlement resolves a dispute; it is not, by itself, an admission that the allegations were true.

What the $311,000 does—and does not—mean

Question What the number refers to Was $311,000 the amount Maguire was convicted of stealing? No. The figure was tied to a civil bankruptcy trustee's recovery effort, not a criminal conviction. Was it a reported poker loss? No. It was described as poker winnings Maguire had received from Ruderman. Did Maguire pay $311,000 to settle? No. News reports said he settled the claim for $80,000. Does the settlement prove the trustee's allegations? No. Maguire disputed the claim, and a settlement is not the same as a court finding of liability.

Why a poker win could become a bankruptcy issue

Bankruptcy trustees can investigate a debtor's past transactions and try to recover money or property for creditors. (sorry, got distracted). In this case, the trustee's theory was that Ruderman had used investor money to fund gambling and that players who received money from him could be asked to return it. That legal theory placed Maguire's poker winnings inside a much larger effort to trace and recover assets.

The claim was a **civil recovery attempt**. It did not mean that every poker player was accused of knowing where Ruderman's money came from, and it did not turn the reported winnings into proof of criminal conduct by

Maguire. The case was part of the aftermath of Ruderman's fund collapse and the attempt to compensate people who had lost money.

Why the poker story became a Hollywood headline

Maguire's name gave the case an unusually strong celebrity hook. By the time the lawsuit entered the news, he was already globally recognizable as the star of Sam Raimi's *Spider-Man* films. Reports also connected him to private, high-stakes poker games associated with Molly Bloom, whose later memoir inspired the film *Molly's Game*.

Celebrity coverage can make a civil dispute sound more dramatic or conclusive than the underlying record supports. The useful summary is narrower: a trustee sued to recover money Maguire had reportedly won from Ruderman; Maguire denied wrongdoing; and he settled for $80,000. The $311,000 was the claim's headline figure, not the settlement amount.

Spider-Man earnings and global box-office impact

Maguire's three-film run as Peter Parker made him one of the defining movie stars of the early-2000s superhero boom. The original *Spider-Man* (2002) was a landmark hit for the genre, and the sequels expanded the franchise's global audience. Box-office totals vary slightly across databases and can change as figures are updated, but the films' worldwide grosses were roughly $825 million for *Spider-Man*, $789 million for *Spider-Man 2* and $895 million for *Spider-Man 3*.

Those grosses belong to the films and their distributors—not to Maguire personally. An actor's salary is only one part of a movie's financial picture, and reported compensation can differ by source, especially when bonuses or profit participation are involved. Still, the franchise's success established Maguire's commercial stature and made his later poker headlines seem strikingly different from the public image most audiences knew.

Film Release year Approximate worldwide box office Context *Spider-Man* 2002 About $825 million A major early-2000s superhero hit and Maguire's breakout as Peter Parker. *Spider-Man 2* 2004 About $789 million A commercially successful sequel that strengthened the franchise's reputation. *Spider-Man 3* 2007 About $895 million The trilogy's biggest worldwide earner by commonly cited totals.

Salary figures reported for the the trilogy are not always consistent, and they should not be confused with gross ticket sales. The point is the scale: Maguire's leading role was attached to a franchise that reached hundreds of millions of moviegoers around the world and generated enormous revenue beyond his individual pay.



Personal details and earnings at a glance

Detail Summary Known for Playing Peter Parker in the 2002–2007 *Spider-Man* trilogy. Reported poker recovery settlement $80,000 in 2011, following a trustee's claim tied to the $311,000 figure. Estimated net worth **$75 million estimated net worth (2026)**. This is a public estimate, not a verified accounting of Maguire's assets. Marriage Married jewelry designer Jennifer Meyer in 2007. Separation The couple announced their separation in 2016. Divorce Meyer filed for divorce in 2020; reports described the divorce as finalized later that year.

A famous actor with a low-profile public image

For someone who headlined a major studio franchise, Maguire has generally kept a lower profile than many stars of comparable fame. He has continued to act and produce, but he does not maintain the constant public-facing presence associated with some Hollywood celebrities. That contrast helps explain why stories about private poker games, legal claims or personal milestones tend to attract attention: they offer glimpses into a life he usually keeps out of the spotlight.

His reserved public image should not be mistaken for proof of anything about the poker dispute. It is simply a feature of how he has managed fame. The legal story is best understood from its documented distinction between the trustee's allegation, Maguire's denial and the eventual settlement.

Marriage, separation and post-divorce friendship

Maguire married jewelry designer Jennifer Meyer in 2007. They had two children and announced their separation in 2016. Meyer filed for divorce in 2020, and contemporaneous entertainment coverage reported that the divorce was finalized later that year.

After their split, both have been described in coverage as maintaining a cooperative relationship and a friendship while raising their children. That post-divorce dynamic is a reminder that the end of a marriage does not necessarily mean the end of a family relationship. As with any celebrity family, personal details deserve care: the public record supports the broad timeline, but it does not make every private aspect of their lives public property.

What about the October 2026 Hawaii wedding-officiant headline?

An October 2026 headline reportedly described Maguire as an officiant at a wedding in Hawaii. That item is separate from the $311,000 poker claim: it does not change the legal history, the amount sought by the trustee or the reported settlement. Because a headline alone may omit context, details such as whose wedding it was and the circumstances of the ceremony should be checked against the original report before being treated as established facts.

The headline does, however, fit the broader picture of a celebrity who tends to surface publicly in occasional, specific moments rather than through a constant stream of personal updates. It is a human-interest item—not evidence of a new financial or legal development.



Bottom line

The $311,000 number refers to poker winnings that a bankruptcy trustee sought to recover from Tobey Maguire after Bradley Ruderman's hedge fund collapsed in a fraud case.

Maguire denied wrongdoing and reportedly settled the civil claim for $80,000 in 2011. It was not a criminal fine, a reported loss or a finding that Maguire took part in the investment fraud.

The story sits beside, but is distinct from, Maguire's blockbuster *Spider-Man* earnings, his deliberately low-profile public image, and his family life after separating from Jennifer Meyer. Keeping those distinctions clear is the easiest way to understand why the number made headlines—and what it actually meant.

FAQ: quick answers

What is the $311,000 in the Tobey Maguire poker story?

It is the amount a bankruptcy trustee reportedly sought to recover from Maguire, describing it as poker winnings he had received from Bradley Ruderman.

Was Tobey Maguire charged with a crime over the poker games?

The $311,000 matter was a civil bankruptcy claim, not a criminal conviction. Maguire denied wrongdoing.

How much did Maguire reportedly pay to settle?

Contemporary reports said he agreed to pay $80,000 to settle the trustee's claim in 2011.

Does the $311,000 prove Maguire knew the money came from fraud?

No. The trustee's effort concerned recovering money for creditors. The claim and its settlement are not proof that Maguire knew the source of Ruderman's funds.

What is Tobey Maguire's estimated net worth in 2026?

A commonly circulated estimate is **$75 million estimated net worth (2026)**. Net-worth figures published online are estimates and should not be treated as audited financial disclosures.

When did Tobey Maguire and Jennifer Meyer separate and divorce?

They announced their separation in 2016. Meyer filed for divorce in 2020, with reports describing the divorce as finalized later that year. They have been reported to maintain a friendly, cooperative relationship after the split.